wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Cruz	Montanez-Contreras	Case Number: <u>08-6377M</u>	
present and was	rith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31- represented by counsel. I conclude by defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was held on November 25, 2008. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a prepo	nderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
		nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charg	ged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant far Enforcement, placing him/her beyond to or otherwise removed.	eleased herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs or cement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported therwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant conta	cts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.		
×	The defendant has a prior criminal hist	ory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and ha substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to app	ear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.	
at the time of the	e hearing in this matter, except as note CO	NCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defenda No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION	ant will flee. Ons will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. NS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections fac appeal. The defe of the United Sta	ility separate, to the extent practicable, the endant shall be afforded a reasonable of the street or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpose	ne Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending apportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS OR deliver a copy of Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of this d	etention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
IT IS FU Services sufficie	RTHER ORDERED that if a release to a control of the hearing before to the total third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE	D this 25 th day of November, 2	2008.	
	Unit	David K. Duncan ed States Magistrate Judge	